Ξ





July 20, 2000

Manager
Dissemination Branch
Information Management & Services Division
Office of Thrift Supervision
1700 G Street NW
Washington DC 20552

Attention: Docket No. 2000-44

Dear Sir/Madam:

On behalf of the Los Angeles Neighborhood Housing Services (LANHS), I urge you to make significant changes in the proposed "sunshine" regulations. I appreciate the difficulty you as federal banking agencies faced in developing regulations for a confusing and far-reaching statute, but I feel that the regulation as drafted puts an unfair burden on community organizations like ours that are reliant on working cooperatively with financial institutions.

The essence of the Community Reinvestment Act (CRA) is to encouraging members of the general public to articulate credit needs and engage in dialogue with banks and federal banking agencies, and I believe that the sunshine statute is in direct conflict with the intent of CRA.

CRA stimulates collaboration between organizations like LANHS for the purpose of revitalizing communities. The sunshine statute, by making CRA-related speech suspect, threatens to reverse more than twenty years of bank-community partnerships and progress.

As a private sector organization, I find it troublesome that I have to disclose a contract I have with a bank and provide details on how I spent grant or loan dollars under the contract. The sunshine statute requires banks, community development organizations, and a large number of other parties to disclose private contracts to federal agencies if the parties engage in so-called CRA "contacts" or discussions about how to help the bank make more loans and investments in low- and moderate-income communities.

Many private sector organizations will simply do less CRA-related business since they will not want to deal with the disclosure requirements. The result will be fewer loans and investments reaching the communities I work in. My job of revitalizing communities will become much harder.

CRA Contacts

Because of the profound damage that the CRA contact portion of the supshine provision will cause, we asks that the federal banking agencies refrain from implementing the CRA contact rules until they have sought an opinion from the Department of Justice's Office of Legal Counsel regarding its constitutionality. In addition, the Federal Reserve Board has the discretionary authority to exempt agreements or contracts from disclosure based on CRA contacts and we strongly urge asks the Federal Reserve to eliminate all CRA contacts as a trigger for disclosure.

Material Impact Instead of using

r for disclosure, we believe that the federal banking agencies and. We believe that a CRA agreement or contract should not be a bank to make a greater number of loans, investments, and

services in more than one of its markets. The federal banking agencies have proposed that agreements be subject to disclosure if they specify any level of CRA-related loans, investments, and services. But only a higher number of loans and investments in more than one market is likely to have a material impact on a CRA rating or a decision on a merger application.

The agency interpretation of material impact will result in an unwieldy regulation. Simply put, hundreds, if not thousands of contracts with community development corporations and other organizations may have to be disclosed. If the material impact standard is not changed, the agencies will be deluged with thousands of letters, written understandings, or contracts about these types of loans and grants made to nonprofit organizations and for-profit companies working in low- and moderate-income communities.

To make the sunshine regulation more reasonable and to stay within the spirit of CRA, we suggest that it should focus on agreements made during the public comment period on a merger application or during the time period when a CRA exam is announced and when the exam occurs.

Means of Disclosure

Under the procedures of general operating grants, we ask the Federal agencies to specify in the final regulation that the use of IRS Form 990 is an acceptable means of disclosure. The preamble to the draft regulation state that the 990 form provides more than enough detail for satisfying disclosure requirements. Codifying the use of 990 forms would simplify reporting requirements and reduce burdens for nonprofit organizations that are very familiar with the 990.

The public record from the congressional deliberations over the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act support the use of the IRS 990 form. The Manager's report accompanying the legislation states that a Federal income tax return is an acceptable means of disclosure. In addition, Representatives Jim Leach (R-IA) and John LaFalce (D-NY) engaged in a colloquy on the eve of the House vote on Gramm-Leach-Bliley in which they emphasized the use of Federal income tax returns as satisfying the disclosure requirements. We also support the proposed reporting procedures for specific grants. If a nonprofit organization received grants or loans for a specific purpose such as purchasing computers or providing financial literacy counseling, the nonprofit organization should be able to comply with the disclosure requirement by describing the specific activity in a few sentences.

Who Must Report

We agree with the draft regulation that non-governmental parties should not be required to submit annual reports during the years in which they did not receive grants or loans under the agreement. While other organizations may have received grants and loans under the agreement, it would be logistically impractical for the negotiating party to report on how the grants and loans were used by the other parties. In many cases, large banks may be making relatively small grants to hundreds of community groups over a multistate area. It is also unreasonable for the non-negotiating parties to be required to report since they may not even be aware that they received grants or loans because of a CRA agreement.

In Conclusion

While it may be impossible for the so-called sunshine provision to be a non-meddlesome regulation, we believe that our suggestions reduce the burden and the potential damage that this provision of law will have on our organization and others like us. We urge the federal banking agencies to adopt our suggestions for streamlining the sunshine regulation.

Sincereix

President